

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of Schwartz, et al.

Serial No. 10/695,509

Examiner: Fetterolf, Brandon J.

Filed: October 28, 2003

Art Unit: 1642

For: METHODS FOR PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF CANCER

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

MAIL STOP: Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants appreciate Examiner Fetterolf's granting of a telephonic interview to the undersigned attorney and the inventor, Dr. Gary Schwartz, on August 11, 2008 in the above-identified patent application.

The substance of the interview was to clarify the distinctions between claims proposed for the subject invention and the cited references, as well as to discuss the proposed claim language for overcoming the outstanding rejections under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph and 35 USC 103. Pursuant to the Examiner's helpful suggestions, applicants submitted a Reply to the final Office Action dated April 10, 2008, amending the claims in accordance with the issues discussed in the Interview, and a Rule 132 expert declaration from Dr. Schwartz.

Applicant respectfully believes this case to now be in condition for allowance, the action of which is earnestly solicited.

Applicant further invites the Examiner to call the undersigned if clarification is needed on any aspect of this response, or if the Examiner believes another telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of the subject application to completion.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 19, 2008

/Ted W. Whitlock/
Ted W. Whitlock
Registration No. 36,965
5323 SW 38th Avenue
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33312
Ph: 954-986-2119
Fax: 954-986-2120